(C)

കേരള സർക്കാർ Government of Kerala 2014



Regn. No. KERBIL/2012/45073 dated 5-9-2012 with RNI

Reg. No. KL/TV(N)/634/2012-14

കേരള ഗസററ് KERALA GAZETTE

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത് PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

വാല്യം 3	തിരുവനന്തപുരം,	2014 ഏപ്രിൽ 8 8th April 2014	mm13 \
Vol. III	ചൊവ്വ Thiruvananthapuram, Tuesday	1189 മീനം 25 25th Meenam 1189	No. \(\begin{aligned} 14
		1936 ചൈത്രം 18 18th Chaithra 1936	

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ERRATUM

G. O. (Rt.) No. 269/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 22nd February 2014.

Read:—(1) G. O. (Rt.) No. 767/2013/LBR dated 25-4-2013.

- (2) G. O. (Rt.) No. 768/2013/LBR dated 25-4-2013.
- (3) G. O. (Rt.) No. 772/2013/LBR dated 25-4-2013.
- (4) Letter No. I (2) 1238/2014 dated 30-1-2014 from the Labour Commissioner.

In the circumstances, reported in the letter read (4) above Government are pleased to order that the issue of reference mentioned in the annexure to the Government Orders read 1 to 3 are corrected and to be read as "Whether the demand for 20% of Bonus for the year 2011-12 to the employees of Checkmat Security Services, Karakkamandapam, Thiruvananthapuram, Insight Security Services & Facilities Pvt. Limited, Punnakkamoodu, Thiruvananthapuram, Private Eye Security Services, Indira Nagar, Bangalore are Justifiable? If yes what relief they are entitled to"

The Government Orders read 1 to 3 above stands modified to the above extent.

By order of the Governor,

HAREENDRAN NAIR, B.

Deputy Secretary to Government.

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 261/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 21st February 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Malankara Plantations Limited, Malankara Buildings, Kodimatha, Kottayam-686 039 and the workman of the above refferred establishment Sri Shajimon, M., Malankara Estate, Mrala P. O., Thodupuzha, Idukki District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki (Peermade). The Industrial Tribunal, will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the termination of Service of Sri Shajimon, M., Malankara Estate, Thodupuzha by the management is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to"?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 262/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 21st February 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Teekoy Rubbers (India) Limited, Pala, Kottayam-686 575 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Mathew Kuriakose, Mangalathil Veedu, Njondimakkal Kavala, Pala P. O., Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct

that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki (Peermade). The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal from service of Sri Mathew Kuriakose, Assistant Filed Manager, with effect from 19-2-2007 by the management of Teekoy Rubbers (India) Limited, Pala, Kottayam is justifiable? If not, what relief the workman is entitled to?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 277/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 25th February 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Mohammed Shihabudeen Kolambil, Managing Director, M/s. Techmap IT Solutions (P) Limited, Pulappayil Building, N.H. Road, Mamangalam, Palarivattom P. O., (S/o Beeran Kolambil, Kolambil House, Palathara, Kadampuzha P. O., Malappuram-676 553) and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Sonu Jacob Jose, S/o Jose Ignatious, K. J., Kadaviparambil House, Mundamveli P. O., Ernakulam 682 507 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the alleged denial of employment to the employee Sri Sonu Jacob Jose by the management of Techmap IT Solutions (P) Limited, Mamangalam, Palarivattom P. O. is justifiable or not? If not, what is the remedy he is entitled to? Whether Sri Sonu Jacob Jose is eligible for the salary assured by the management at the time of appointment? If yes what is the remedy he is entitled to?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 278/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 25th February 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion an industrial dispute that exists between Mohammed Shihabudeen Kolambil, Managing Director, M/s. Techmap IT Solutions (P) Limited, Pulappayil Building, N. H. Road, Mamangalam, Palarivattom, P. O., (S/o. Beeran Kolambil, Kolambil House, Palathara, Kadampuzha P. O., Malappuram-676 553) and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri. Manu Thomas Jose, s/o. Jose Ignatious, K. J. Kadaviparambil House, Mundamveli P.O., Ernakulam-682 507 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the alleged denial of employment to the employee Sri Manu Thomas Jose by the management of Techmap IT Solutions (P) Limited, Mamangalam, Palarivattom P. O. is Justifiable or not? If not, what is the remedy he is entitled to? Whether Sri. Manu Thomas Jose is eligible for the salary assured by the management at the time of appointment? If yes what is the remedy he is entitled to?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 279/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 25th February 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that in industrial dispute exists between Mohammed Shihabudeen Kolambil, Managing Director, M/s. Techmap IT Solutions (P) Limited, Pulappayil Building, N. H. Road, Mamangalam, Palarivattom, P. O., (s/o. Beeran Kolambil, Kolambil House, Palathara, Kadampuzha P. O., Malappuram-676 553) and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Sudev, P. Padhinerathil House, Nhangattiri P.O., Pattambi, Palakkad-679 311 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the alleged denial of employment to the employee Sri Sudev, P. by the management of Techmap IT Solutions (P) Limited, Mamangalam, Palarivattom P. O. is Justifiable or not? If not, what relief he is entitled to? Whether Sri Sudev. P. is eligible for the salary assured by the management at the time of appointment? If yes what is the remedy he is entitled to?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 280/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 25th February 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that in industrial dispute exists between Mohammed Shihabudeen Kolambil, Managing Director, M/s. Techmap IT Solutions (P) Limited, Pulappayil Building, N. H. Road, Mamangalam, Palarivattom P. O., (s/o. Beeran Kolambil, Kolambil House, Palathara, Kadampuzha P. O., Malappuram-676 553) and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Jibin Abraham Mathew, s/o P. J. Mathai, Paruthikattil House, Nalkalickal P.O., Aranmula-689 533 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order:

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the alleged denial of employment to the employee Sri. Jibin Abraham Mathew by the management of Techmap IT Solutions (P) Limited, Mamangalam, Palarivattom P. O. is Justifiable or not? If not, what is the remedy he is entitled to? Whether Sri Jibin Abraham Mathew is eligible for the salary assured by the management at the time of appointment? If yes what is the remedy he is entitled to?

(7)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 281/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 25th February 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, TELK Angamaly, and the workmen of the above refered establishment represented by the Secretary, TELK Staff Guild, TELK Angamaly, in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the suspension of Sri K. Abdul Rahman, Office Assistant Grade I, TELK Angamaly for the period from 5-6-2010 to 14-6-2010 as a part of punishment and non-payment of Service benefits for the period from 18-3-2009 to 4-6-2010 by the management of TELK Angamaly is Justifiable? If not what are the benefits he is entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

Rajanikant R. Baliga, Under Secretary to Government.